Case: 16-10109, 11/03/2016, ID: 10185277, DktEntry: 27, Page 1 of 5

No. 16-10109

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

ANTONIO GILTON, et al.,

Defendants-Appellees.

UNITED STATES' UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME IN WHICH TO FILE ITS REPLY BRIEF

Plaintiff-Appellant United States of America hereby moves this Court for a 30-day extension of time in which to file its reply brief in the above-entitled appeal. The government's reply brief is currently due November 10, 2016. This motion would extend the time for filing the government's brief to December 12, 2016. This motion is made pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 27 and Ninth Circuit Rule 31-2.2(b), and is based on the accompanying declaration of Assistant United States Attorney Anne M. Voigts in support of the United States' motion for an extension of time.

Appellee Antonio Gilton, through counsel Mark Goldrosen, does not oppose the requested extension.

DATED: November 3, 2016 Respectfully submitted,

BRIAN J. STRETCH United States Attorney

J. DOUGLAS WILSON Chief, Appellate Division

/s/ Anne Voigts
ANNE M. VOIGTS
Assistant United States Attorney

450 Golden Gate Ave., 11th Floor San Francisco, CA 94102 Phone: (408) 535-5061

Attorneys for Plaintiff-Appellant UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Case: 16-10109, 11/03/2016, ID: 10185277, DktEntry: 27, Page 3 of 5

No. 16-10109

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

ANTONIO GILTON, et al.,

Defendants-Appellees.

DECLARATION OF ANNE M. VOIGTS IN SUPPORT OF THE UNITED STATES' UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME IN WHICH TO FILE ITS REPLY BRIEF

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I, Anne M. Voigts, hereby declare as follows:

- I am an Assistant United States Attorney in the United States Attorney's
 Office for the Northern District of California. I am responsible for preparing the government's reply brief. I did not serve as trial counsel in this case.
- 2. This case involves a government appeal from the district court's order granting defendant Antonio Gilton's motion to suppress the fruits of a state court search warrant for cellphone records. The government's reply brief is currently due November 10, 2016. The government's appeal from the district court's order granting another motion to suppress filed by Gilton in the same case is still pending before this court in Case No. 15-10475.

3. I was informed this week that a party will seek to file an amicus brief. In order to adequately respond to both defendant's answering brief and the amicus brief, I require additional time to research and draft the reply. I also am responsible drafting for the government's brief in *United States v. Federico*, CA No. 15-10605, due November 10, 2016, and for the government's opposition to the petition for rehearing in *United States v Harmon*, CA No. 15-10034, due November 14, 2016.

- 4. For the foregoing reasons, I cannot adequately finish the government's reply brief in compliance with the current schedule. This is the government's first requested extension, and is not undertaken for purposes of delay.
- 5. On October 31, 2016, I spoke with Mark Goldrosen, counsel for appellee Antonio Gilton. He does not oppose the requested extension.
- 6. To the best of my knowledge, the court reporter is not in default with regard to any designated transcripts.
 - 7. Gilton is currently being detained pending trial.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on November 3, 2016.

/s/ Anne Voigts
ANNE M. VOIGTS
Assistant United States Attorney

Case: 16-10109	9, 11/03/2016, ID: 10185277, DktEntry: 27, Page 5 of 5
9th Circuit Case Number(s)	16-10109
NOTE: To secure your input, yo	u should print the filled-in form to PDF (File > Print > PDF Printer/Creator).
********	*****************
When All Case Particip	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE ants are Registered for the Appellate CM/ECF System
2	ically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court for the s for the Ninth Circuit by using the appellate CM/ECF system
I certify that all participants in accomplished by the appellate	the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be CM/ECF system.
Signature (use "s/" format)	/s/ Hui Chen, Paralegal
*********	*******************
	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
When Not All Case Partic	cipants are Registered for the Appellate CM/ECF System
	ically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court for the s for the Ninth Circuit by using the appellate CM/ECF system
Participants in the case who as CM/ECF system.	re registered CM/ECF users will be served by the appellate
have mailed the foregoing doc	he participants in the case are not registered CM/ECF users. I cument by First-Class Mail, postage prepaid, or have dispatched it rrier for delivery within 3 calendar days to the following
Signature (use "s/" format)	+